

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/822,364	BURGMEIER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BHISMA MEHTA	3767

All Participants:

(1) BHISMA MEHTA.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Lisa Ryan-Lindquist.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 January 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendments to the specification to provide antecedent basis for the specific wording of the claims was discussed and agreed to as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment. Amendments to claim 1 to correct minor informalities and to claim 17 to more clearly define the invention were discussed and agreed to as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bhisma Mehta/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3767

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)